

All generalized $SU(2)$ chiral models have spectral dependent Lax formulation

LADISLAV HLAVATÝ *

Department of Physics,

Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering

February 9, 2008

Abstract

The equations that define the Lax pairs for generalized principal chiral models can be solved for any nondegenerate bilinear form on $su(2)$. The solution is dependent on one free variable that can serve as the spectral parameter.

1 Formulation of the generalized chiral models

Principal chiral models are important example of relativistically invariant field theory integrable by the inverse scattering method. Their Lax pair formulation was given in [1]. Generalized principal chiral models were introduced as a quasiclassical limit of the Baxter quantum XYZ model in [2]. The equations of motion were written in the zero curvature form but without a spectral parameter. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the spectral dependent Lax pair for general $SU(2)$ model.

*Postal address: Břehová 7, 115 19 Prague 1, Czech Republic. E-mail: hlavaty@br.fjfi.cvut.cz

Generalized principal chiral models on the Lie group G are defined by the action

$$I[g] = - \int d^2x \eta^{\mu\nu} L(A_\mu, A_\nu), \quad (1)$$

where

$$A_\mu := -i(g^{-1}\partial_\mu g), \quad (2)$$

$g : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow G$, $\mu, \nu \in \{0, 1\}$, $\eta := \text{diag}(1, -1)$ and L is a bilinear form on the corresponding Lie algebra. In the coordinate dependent version

$$I[g] = - \int d^2x L_{ab} \eta^{\mu\nu} (g^{-1}\partial_\mu g)^a (g^{-1}\partial_\nu g)^b, \quad (3)$$

where L_{ab} is matrix $\dim G \times \dim G$ defined by the bilinear form

$$L_{ab} := L(t_a, t_b), \quad (4)$$

and t_b are elements of basis in the Lie algebra

$$iA_\nu = (g^{-1}\partial_\nu g) = (g^{-1}\partial_\nu g)^b t_b = iA_\nu^b t_b. \quad (5)$$

Lie products of elements of basis define the structure coefficients

$$[t_a, t_b] = if_{ab}^c t_c \quad (6)$$

The equations of motion for the models read

$$\partial_\mu A^{\mu,a} + S_{bc}^a A_\mu^b A^{\mu,c} = 0 \quad (7)$$

where

$$S_{bc}^a := \frac{1}{2}(F_{bc}^a + F_{cb}^a), \quad F_{bc}^a := L_{qb} f_{cp}^a (L^{-1})^{pa}. \quad (8)$$

2 The Lax pairs

In the paper [3], ansatz for the Lax formulation of the generalized chiral models was taken in the form

$$[\partial_0 + N_{0,b}^a A_0^b t_a + N_{1,b}^a A_1^b t_a, \partial_1 + N_{0,b}^a A_1^b t_a + N_{1,b}^a A_0^b t_a] = 0 \quad (9)$$

where N_μ are two auxiliary $\dim G \times \dim G$ matrices. It is known that the ansatz (9) provides Lax pairs for L proportional to the Killing form $\kappa_{ab} := \text{Tr}(t_a t_b)$ and for the anisotropic $SU(2)$ model where $L_{ab} :=$

$L_a \kappa_{ab}$ (no summation) with $L_1 = L_2 \neq L_3$. We are going to prove that this ansatz gives Lax pairs for any bilinear nondegenerate form L on $su(2)$.

Necessary conditions that the operators in (9) form the Lax pair for the equations of motion (7) are

$$N_{0,b}^a f_{pq}{}^b + i N_{\mu,p}^b N^{\mu,c}{}_q f_{bc}{}^a = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{i}{2} f_{cd}{}^a (N_{0,p}^c N_{1,q}^d + N_{0,q}^c N_{1,p}^d) = N_{1,b}^a S_{pq}^b. \quad (11)$$

If N_1 is invertible, that we shall assume in the following, then these conditions are also sufficient. Note that the first condition is independent of the bilinear form L so that one can start with solving the equation (10) and then look for the bilinear form L determined by (11) and (8).

The structure coefficients for $su(2)$ can be chosen in terms of the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor

$$f_{ab}{}^c = \epsilon_{abc}. \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, as the matrix L_{ab} is symmetric we can diagonalize it by orthogonal transformations. The important fact is that the structure coefficients (12) remain invariant under these transformations. It means that without loss of generality for the $SU(2)$ models we can assume that L is diagonal and structure coefficients have the form (12). We shall prove that we can satisfy the conditions (10), (11) for any diagonal L by the diagonal matrices N_0 and N_1 containing one free ("spectral") parameter.

Inserting (12) and

$$L = \text{diag}(L_1, L_2, L_3) \quad (13)$$

into (8) we find that the only nonzero components of S_{bc}^a are

$$\begin{aligned} S_{23}^1 &= S_{32}^1 = \frac{L_2 - L_3}{2L_1} =: \sigma_1/2, \\ S_{13}^2 &= S_{31}^2 = \frac{L_3 - L_1}{2L_2} =: \sigma_2/2, \\ S_{21}^3 &= S_{12}^3 = \frac{L_1 - L_2}{2L_3} =: \sigma_3/2. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The conditions (10), (11) for

$$N_0 = \text{diag}(P_1, P_2, P_3), \quad N_1 = \text{diag}(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) \quad (15)$$

provide us with two sets of equations for P_j and Q_j , namely

$$Q_1 Q_2 = P_1 P_2 - iP_3, \text{ and cyclic permutations of } (1, 2, 3) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\sigma_1 Q_1 + iP_3 Q_2 - iP_2 Q_3 = 0, \text{ and cyclic permutations of } (1, 2, 3). \quad (17)$$

Assuming that N_1 is invertible, i.e. $Q_j \neq 0$, we get solution of the equations (16)

$$Q_1 = \pm \sqrt{\frac{R_2 R_3}{R_1}}, \quad Q_2 = \frac{R_3}{Q_1}, \quad Q_3 = \frac{R_1 Q_1}{Q_3}. \quad (18)$$

where

$$R_1 := P_2 P_3 - iP_1, \quad R_2 := P_3 P_1 - iP_2, \quad R_3 := P_1 P_2 - iP_3. \quad (19)$$

The solution is unique up to the sign.

Inserting (18),(19) into (17) we get three nonlinear nonhomogeneous equations for P_j

$$E_1 := P_1 P_2 [\sigma_3 (P_3^2 - 1) + P_2^2 - P_1^2] - iP_3 [(P_1^2 + P_2^2) \sigma_3 + P_2^2 - P_1^2] = 0, \quad (20)$$

$$E_2 := P_2 P_3 [\sigma_1 (P_1^2 - 1) + P_3^2 - P_2^2] - iP_1 [(P_2^2 + P_3^2) \sigma_1 + P_3^2 - P_2^2] = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$E_3 := P_3 P_1 [\sigma_2 (P_2^2 - 1) + P_1^2 - P_3^2] - iP_2 [(P_3^2 + P_1^2) \sigma_2 + P_1^2 - P_3^2] = 0. \quad (22)$$

These equations are not independent because the following relation holds identically

$$\begin{aligned} & E_1 P_1 L_1 (-L_1 + L_2 + L_3) + E_2 P_2 L_2 (-L_2 + L_3 + L_1) \\ & + E_3 P_3 L_3 (-L_3 + L_1 + L_2) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

That's why the variety of solutions of (20)–(22) has the dimension one. The solution curve can be written as

$$P_1 = i\kappa_1 \frac{\sqrt{\mu + L_2} \sqrt{\mu + L_3}}{\sqrt{L_2} \sqrt{L_3}}, \quad P_2 = i\kappa_2 \frac{\sqrt{\mu + L_3} \sqrt{\mu + L_1}}{\sqrt{L_3} \sqrt{L_1}},$$

$$P_3 = i\kappa_3 \frac{\sqrt{\mu + L_1}\sqrt{\mu + L_2}}{\sqrt{L_1}\sqrt{L_2}} \quad (24)$$

where μ is a free parameter and

$$\kappa_1^2 = \kappa_2^2 = 1, \quad \kappa_3 = \kappa_1\kappa_2.$$

Inserting (24) into (18) we get

$$Q_1 = i\omega_1 \frac{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu + L_1}}{\sqrt{L_2}\sqrt{L_3}}, \quad Q_2 = i\omega_2 \frac{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu + L_2}}{\sqrt{L_3}\sqrt{L_1}}, \quad Q_3 = i\omega_3 \frac{\sqrt{\mu}\sqrt{\mu + L_3}}{\sqrt{L_1}\sqrt{L_2}}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$\omega_1 = \kappa_2\omega_3, \quad \omega_2 = \kappa_1\omega_3, \quad \omega_3^2 = 1.$$

The formulas (15), (24), and (25) yield the solution of the equations (10), (11) for

$$L_{ab} = L_a\delta_{ab}, \quad f_{ab}^c = \epsilon_{abc} \quad (26)$$

and up to an eventual orthogonal transformation of the algebra basis, they define the Lax pair for the general principal $SU(2)$ chiral model (3). It is easy to check that for $L_1 = L_2 = L_3$ and $L_1 = L_2 \neq L_3$, the Lax pairs coincide with the previously known cases [1], [3].

The author gladly acknowledge assistance of the system Reduce [4] by the presented calculations.

References

- [1] A.V. Mikhailov V.E. Zakharov. Relativistically invariant two-dimensional models in field theory integrable by the inverse problem technique. *J.Exp.Theor.Phys.*, 74(6):1953–1973, 1978. In Russian.
- [2] I.V. Cherednik. Relativistically invariant quasiclassical limits of integrable two-dimensional quantum models. *Theor.Math.Phys*, 47(2):225–229, 1981. In Russian.
- [3] N. Sochen. Integrable generalized principal chiral models. *Phys.Lett. B*, 391:374–380, 1997.
- [4] A.C. Hearn. *Reduce 3.6*. Konrad-Zuse-Zentrum, 1996.